

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,992	10/21/2004	Dale L Handlin	TH1768E/US	8403
30522	7590 10/06/2005		EXAMINER	
KRATON POLYMERS U.S. LLC			ASINOVSKY, OLGA	
	OW TECHNOLOGY CI AY 6 SOUTH	ENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON,	TX 77082		1711	

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	λ	h /
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/511,992	HANDLIN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Olga Asinovsky	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 C	October 2004.	
	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under between the condition of the condition	•	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 17-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 17-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	wn from consideration.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		Evaminer
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•	· ·
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/511,992 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 26-28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

2. Claims 26-28 provide for the use of the solvent-free, hot melt adhesive for bonding a polar leather layer to a non-polar substrate, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 26-28 are is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966). In claim 29, a process for bonding a polar leather layer to a non-polar substrate is not clear, because there are no steps of process conditions, the step "by using the solvent-free, hot melt adhesive composition" is not clear and makes a process indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/511,992 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 17-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trepka et al U.S. Patent 6,265,485 in view of Knoll et al U.S.Patent 6,197,889 and further in view of Himes U. S. Patent 5,750,622.

Trepka' 485 discloses block copolymer having variety of block structures produced by a variety of monomer addition sequences and a variety of coupling agents, col. 1, lines 33-36, for the present claims 1 and 17. The block copolymer having general structure S2-B1/S3-Li is readable in applicants' claims, wherein block S2 is formed from monovinylaromatic monomer and B1/S3 is a soft block copolymer of a conjugated diene monomer and styrene. The copolymer block B/S is readable in applicants' claims for being a block B. The randomizer has been used in the polymerization of the monovinylaromatic monomer and the conjugated diene in a mixed monomer charge. col. 7, lines 3-5. The randomizer can be selected to manipulate the direction of the conjugated diene rich chain to monovinylaromatic chain, col. 7, lines 5-17. The randomizer is a controlling agent for producing the desired controlled distribution block copolymer of a block B/S, for the present claims, wherein the randomizer regulates tapering or random polymerization of the monovinylaromatic monomer and the conjugated diene in a mixed monomer charge, col. 7, lines 3-5. Although, Trepka does not name "controlled distribution copolymer block B", however the disclosure is teaching the same meaning that "the taper can be either a graduation from conjugated diene rich chain to monovinylaromatic rich chain or a graduation from a monovinylaromatic rich

Art Unit: 1711

chain to conjugated diene rich chain according to which monomer enters the chain faster," col. 7. lines 7-17. Therefore, applicants' limitation (a)(i) and (a)(iii) would be reasonably met in Trepka'485 invention. The resinous copolymeric product is in a solid stage, col. 11, lines 8-9 and col. 12, lines 3-5. The resinous copolymer product can be blended with other polymers and/or additives to develop the desired combination of physical properties, col. 13, line 45 through col. 14-63.

Trepka'485 does not disclose an average molecular weight for each A-block and each B-block copolymer for the limitation (a)(ii) in the present claim 17.

Knoll'889 discloses a block copolymer having hard phase formed from polystyrene block-A having a molecular weight preferably from 3000 to 80,000 and a soft phase B/A block copolymer formed from a diene and vinylaromatic monomers, wherein a soft phase block has a molecular weight preferably from 5000 to 150,000, col. 6, lines 65-67 and col. 7, lines 1-3, for the present limitation in claim 1(a)(ii). The order of the monomer addition depends on the chosen block structure, col. 8, lines 8-25.

Knoll and Trepka disclose the analogous anionic polymerization process for producing a block copolymer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to consider that a block copolymer in Trepka' 485 can have a molecular weight for a hard block and a soft block as disclosed by Knoll'889, because both references disclose the analogous anionic polymerization process for making a block copolymer comprising the analogous structure and the same ingredients.

Trepka' 485 does not disclose a hydrogenated hydrocarbon tackifying resin (b) and a resin (c) which is compatible with the monoalkenyl arene blocks for the specified

Art Unit: 1711

limitations in the present claim 17(b) and (c). However, the additives and/or addition resin can be blended with the resulting block copolymer in Trepka'485, col. 13, lines 45-67 and col. 14, lines 1-67. Also, Trepka'485 does not disclose using the block copolymer for a hot melt adhesive utility.

Himes discloses a hot melt adhesive composition comprising an elastomeric vinyl aromatic hydrocarbon/conjugated diene block copolymer and a tackifying resin such as a hydrogenated rosin and a resin which is compatible with the polymer block on the end of the block copolymer, col. 2, lines 17-48 and col. 7, lines 18-60. The tackifying resin such as a hydrogenated rosin, col. 7, line 29 is readable for being a component 17(b) in the present claims 17 and 23. The resin which is compatible with styrene block includes coumarone-indene resins, poly alpha methyl styrene, polystyrene resin, vinyl toluene-alpha-methyl styrene copolymer, col. 7, lines 39-51, for the present claim s 17(c) and claim 25. The hydrogenated rosin and a resin compatible with a styrene end of the block copolymer are readable in applicants' claims.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the block copolymer composition in Trepka invention as a hot melt adhesive and to modify said block copolymer by employing a tackifying resin and a compatible resin as teaching by Himes invention, because said tackifying resin and a compatible resin have a benefit for improving the adhesive properties, and since the addition ingredients are depending on the desired characteristics and intended use of said block copolymer in Trepka invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,992 Page 6

Art Unit: 1711

There is no applicants' Form 1449 with references.

Specification

5. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because undue length (more than

150 words). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Olga Asinovsky whose telephone number is 571-272-

1066. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

4. g

O.A..

October 02, 2005

Olga Asinovsky Examiner

Art Unit 1711

James J. Seidleck Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700